

HUMAN CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:						
AIRS ID#: 0951266 DATE: 6/6/2014 ARRIVE: 8:00AM DEPA	RT: 12:15AM					
FACILITY NAME: ORLANDO CREMATORY-COLOCATED HUMAN/ANIMAL						
FACILITY LOCATION: 7284 Narcoossee Rd						
ORLANDO 32822-5534						
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JANENE RHODES* Email: janene44@hotmail.com CONTACT NAME: JANENE RHODES* Email: janene44@hotmail.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 1/17/2013 / 1/17/2018 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (407)381 Mobile: PHONE: (407)381						
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☑ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
DADE H. ONGREE INTEROPLICATORY MEDITING						
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Janene Rhodes	(check ☑ only one box for each question)					
Brief Notes:						
2. Is the Authorized Representative still JANENE RHODES*?	X YesNo					
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still JANENE RHODES*? If no, who is?:						
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?						

Emissions Unit Section 1 – Human Crematory-Human Cremator, Model C1000H

PA	RT I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹	only one
		box for each of	
		box for each.	question,
1.	a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989?	N Vac	□ No
	b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the	⊠ Yes	□No
	secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time		
	at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	⊠ Yes	□No
2	Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007?	Yes	□No
	Date of last inspection: 6/7/2013	1 C.5	ZJ110
	Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:		
••	a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	⊠ Yes	□No
	b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	Yes	⊠No
	c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing		<u></u>
	operation? 🖂 N/A	☐ Yes	□No
	d. Date of last VE test: 6/7/2013		
	e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?	Yes	□No
l	f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?	⊠ Yes	□No
	If no, what was the problem (if known)?		
_			
PA	ART II: VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING	/ 1 .1 . 7	1
	KI II. TIDIDE BINIDATI II TEDINITO	(check v box for each of	only one
		DOX 101 Cach	question
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?		□No
	a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one adult-sized cadaver?		□No
	b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	· 🛛 Yes	□No
	The 1911 and a second of the second of 12.00% for the highest six minute everyone		
	c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 13.9 % for the highest six minute average.d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes		△ N O
	(3% opacity, six-infinite average, except that visione emissions not exceeding 13/9 opacity shall be anowed for up to six infinites	III ally one-nour,	
2.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?	⊠ Yes	□No
	a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one (1) adult-sized cadaver?		□No
	b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		□No
	c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 13.9 % for the highest six minute average.		
	d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?		⊠No
3.	Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standard		_
	T 0	∐ Yes	⊠No
	If yes, what reason?		
			7
PA	ART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	,	only one
		box for each	question)
1.	Were there any objectionable odors detected?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were:		2 10
	Downwind odor level detected- Wind direction - Upwind odor level detected-	(1-10)	
	•	` ,	
2.	Continuous Monitoring Systems –		
a	Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the	_	_
	secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	⊠ Yes	□No
b	Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence		
	time at $\boxtimes 1,800^1$ $\square 1,600^2$ degrees was determined?	Yes	∐No
	LABBUCADOR OF IDIDAL BODICATION: received on or after X/3U/X9: - received before X/3U/X9)		

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS (continued)		
c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years?		
 All temperature measurements		□No □No Yes
 No 4) Adjustments 5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices 6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices 	✓ Yes✓ Yes✓ Yes	□No □No □No
 d. Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings e. Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If no, skip e.(1) – (3)	YesYes	□No ⊠No
 (1) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatic control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	Yes	□No
exceeds 15% opacity? (3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?		□No
PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	(check ☑ box for each o	only one question)
If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? ————— b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the cremat process begins in the primary chamber? ————————————————————————————————————		□No
 2. If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? ————————————————————————————————————	Yes	No
PART V: <u>ALLOWED MATERIALS</u>	(check ✓ box for each o	only one question)
Other than human or fetal remains with appropriate containers or clothing, are any materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?	- N Yes	∏No
	- 🔲 168	

		-			
PART VI: EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE		(check ✓ box for each	only one question)		
1. Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the mar	nufacturer's specifications?	X Yes	□No		
2. Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating shutdown and malfunction?			□No		
3. Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame of	haracteristics?	X Yes	□No		
If no, skip a. – b. a. Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least one b. Was the flame adjusted when necessary?			□No □No		
DADELVIA DIVINISPECTIVON COMPLIANCE CITATIVICA					
PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS	check ☑ only one box)				
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIA	NCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COM	PLIANCE			
Facility Section (continued)					
SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES		(check v box for each	•		
Administrative Changes:					
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone num					
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical rel operations comprising the facility; or any other similar mind			⊠No		
2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30			□No		
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:					
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been		Yes	⊠No		
a. Installation of any new process equipment?	1		⊠No ⊠No		
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?			⊠No ⊠No		
d. A change in ownership?			⊠No		
If the any answer to 3a. – d. is Yes, was a new registr submitted 30 days prior to the change?	ration form and the appropriate fee	Yes	□No		
Assefa Hailemariam	6/6/2014				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
	~12/31/2015				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next In	nspection			

COMMENTS: EPD inspector Assefa Hailemariam met with Janene Rhodes, R.O., and Stephen Sidelinger, Crematory Repair Specialist, and Randy Brgant, V.E. reader from AI Environmental Consulting Services, on June 6, 2014 to audit the annual compliance visible emission test and records review of the facility. A facility walk-through was conducted to observe operating conditions and records review was conducted. This facility is a crematory for Humans and small animals. The facility has three human cremation units (EUs 001,002, and 004) and one animal cremation unit (EU003). Mr. Sidelinger was onsite to ensure the units were operating properly and stated he performed the annual maintenance on the units on 5/26/2014. EU001 is a Model C1000H human cremation unit operating at capacity of 150 lbs/hr female body. No plastic containers are used during the cremation process and from about minute 11 through minute 20, visible emissions were observed six minute average is 13.96 %, facility sent

explanation what happen see the att. Email. The emission unit was operating at or above the required temperature of 1600 degrees Fahrenheit. No leaks were observed during our walk-through of the facility and areas were clean. No objectionable odors noticed.